SINGLE BENCH

C.D.1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 3709 /2006

PETITIONER

Dindayal Sahu S/o g.R.Sahu, Aged about 61 yrs., Head master, Girls Primary school, Arang, District Raipur, (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3776/06
Presented by Shri S.C. Verma
dated 20/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattisgarh ,Through The Secretary, School education Department, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

2) The district education officer, Raipur, Pension bada, Raipur, C.G.

3) The block education officer, Arang, Tahsil Arang, Dist: Raipur, C.G.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPIRATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS AND ORDER/ORDSERS ECT.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP...3709./06... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25.7.2006

Mr. S.C. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner, who is working as Head Master, Assistant Grade-III at Girls Primary School, Arang, District Raipur, has challenged the order dated 12.7.2006 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been transferred to Primary School, Simga, District Raipur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is going to retire within a period of one year and at the fag end of his career, he has been transferred against the transfer policy of State, which envisages that if retirement of any employee is due in one year, then he should not be subjected to transfer. Moreover, the petitioner's daughter is a mentally challenged girl by birth and the petitioner is the only male member in the family to look after her.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances within 10 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2886/06 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

28-7-06